Breakdown of the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson paradigm at quantum phase transitions

*Science* **303**, 1490 (2004); cond-mat/0312617 cond-mat/0401041

> Leon Balents (UCSB) Matthew Fisher (UCSB) T. Senthil (MIT) Ashvin Vishwanath (MIT)





Parent compound of the high temperature superconductors:  $La_2CuO_4$ 

A Mott insulator



 $H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$  $\vec{S}_i \implies \text{ spin operator with}$ angular momentum S = 1/2

Ground state has long-range spin density wave (Néel) order at wavevector  $K = (\pi, \pi)$ 

spin density wave order parameter:

$$\vec{\varphi} = \eta_i \frac{\vec{S}_i}{S}$$
;  $\eta_i = \pm 1$  on two sublattices

$$\left\langle \vec{\varphi} \right\rangle \neq 0$$

Parent compound of the high temperature superconductors:  $La_2CuO_4$ 

A Mott insulator



 $H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$  $\vec{S}_i \implies \text{ spin operator with}$ angular momentum S = 1/2

Ground state has long-range spin density wave (Néel) order at wavevector  $K = (\pi, \pi)$ 

spin density wave order parameter:

$$\vec{\varphi} = \eta_i \frac{\vec{S}_i}{S}$$
;  $\eta_i = \pm 1$  on two sublattices

$$\left\langle \vec{\varphi} \right\rangle \neq 0$$

Parent compound of the high temperature superconductors:  $La_2CuO_4$ 

A Mott insulator



 $H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$  $\vec{S}_i \implies \text{ spin operator with}$ angular momentum S = 1/2

Ground state has long-range spin density wave (Néel) order at wavevector  $K = (\pi, \pi)$ 

spin density wave order parameter:

$$\vec{\varphi} = \eta_i \frac{\vec{S}_i}{S}$$
;  $\eta_i = \pm 1$  on two sublattices

$$\left\langle \vec{\varphi} \right\rangle \neq 0$$

Superconductivity in a doped Mott insulator

Introduce mobile carriers of density  $\delta$ by substitutional doping of out-of-plane ions *e.g.* La<sub>2- $\delta$ </sub>Sr<sub> $\delta$ </sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>



Doped state is a paramagnet with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$ and also a high temperature superconductor with the BCS pairing order parameter  $\langle \Psi_{BCS} \rangle \neq 0$ .  $\Rightarrow$  With increasing  $\delta$ , there must be one or more quantum phase transitions involving

(*i*) onset of a non-zero  $\langle \Psi_{\rm BCS} \rangle$ 

(*ii*) restoration of spin rotation invariance by a transition from  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$  to  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$ 

First study magnetic transition in Mott insulators.....

# **Outline**

A. Magnetic quantum phase transitions in "dimerized" Mott insulators Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson (LGW) theory

B. Mott insulators with spin *S*=1/2 per unit cell Berry phases, bond order, and the breakdown of the LGW paradigm

C. Technical details Duality and dangerously irrelevant operators A. Magnetic quantum phase transitions in "dimerized" Mott insulators:

Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson (LGW) theory: Second-order phase transitions described by fluctuations of an order parameter associated with a broken symmetry



M. Matsumoto, B. Normand, T.M. Rice, and M. Sigrist, cond-mat/0309440.

## **Coupled Dimer Antiferromagnet**

M. P. Gelfand, R. R. P. Singh, and D. A. Huse, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 10801-10809 (1989). N. Katoh and M. Imada, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **63**, 4529 (1994).

J. Tworzydlo, O. Y. Osman, C. N. A. van Duin, J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B 59, 115 (1999).

M. Matsumoto, C. Yasuda, S. Todo, and H. Takayama, Phys. Rev. B 65, 014407 (2002).

S=1/2 spins on coupled dimers

















 $\bigcirc = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \uparrow \downarrow \right) - \left| \downarrow \uparrow \right\rangle \right)$ 





 $\bigcirc = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \uparrow \downarrow \right) - \left| \downarrow \uparrow \right\rangle \right)$ 





 $\bigcirc = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \uparrow \downarrow \right) - \left| \downarrow \uparrow \right\rangle \right)$ 





 $\bigcirc = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \uparrow \downarrow \right) - \left| \downarrow \uparrow \right\rangle \right)$ 





 $\bigcirc = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \uparrow \downarrow \right) - \left| \downarrow \uparrow \right\rangle \right)$ 





Energy dispersion away from antiferromagnetic wavevector

 $\varepsilon_p = \Delta + \frac{c_x^2 p_x^2 + c_y^2 p_y^2}{2\Delta}$ 

 $\Delta \rightarrow \text{spin gap}$ 



FIG. 1. Measured neutron profiles in the  $a^*c^*$  plane of TlCuCl<sub>3</sub> for i = (1.35, 0, 0), ii = (0, 0, 3.15) [r.1.u]. The spectrum at T = 1.5 K

For quasi-one-dimensional systems, the triplon linewidth takes

the exact universal value =  $1.20k_BTe^{-\Delta/k_BT}$  at low T

K. Damle and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev.* B **57**, 8307 (1998)

This result is in good agreement with observations in  $CsNiCl_3$  (M. Kenzelmann, R. A. Cowley, W. J. L. Buyers, R. Coldea, M. Enderle, and D. F. McMorrow *Phys. Rev.* B **66**, 174412 (2002)) and Y<sub>2</sub>NiBaO<sub>5</sub> (G. Xu, C. Broholm, G. Aeppli, J. F. DiTusa, T.Ito, K. Oka, and H. Takagi, preprint).

# **Coupled Dimer Antiferromagnet**





# Weakly dimerized square lattice





# Weakly dimerized square lattice



# TICuCl<sub>3</sub>

#### Neutron Diffraction Study of the Pressure-Induced Magnetic Ordering in the Spin Gap System TlCuCl<sub>3</sub>

Akira OOSAWA\*, Masashi FUJISAWA1, Toyotaka OSAKABE, Kazuhisa KAKURAI and Hidekazu TANAKA2

Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195 <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551 <sup>2</sup>Research Center for Low Temperature Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551 (Received February 3, 2003)



Fig. 3. Temperature dependence of the magnetic Bragg peak intensity for Q = (1, 0, -3) reflection measured at P = 1.48 GPa in TlCuCl<sub>3</sub>.

J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 72, 1026 (2003)







The method of bond operators (S. Sachdev and R.N. Bhatt, *Phys. Rev.* B **41**, 9323 (1990)) provides a quantitative description of spin excitations in TlCuCl<sub>3</sub> across the quantum phase transition (M. Matsumoto, B. Normand, T.M. Rice, and M. Sigrist, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 077203 (2002))







The method of bond operators (S. Sachdev and R.N. Bhatt, *Phys. Rev.* B **41**, 9323 (1990)) provides a quantitative description of spin excitations in TlCuCl<sub>3</sub> across the quantum phase transition (M. Matsumoto, B. Normand, T.M. Rice, and M. Sigrist, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 077203 (2002))

## LGW theory for quantum criticality

Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson theory: write down an effective action for the antiferromagnetic order parameter  $\vec{\phi}$  by expanding in powers of  $\vec{\phi}$  and its spatial and temporal derivatives, while preserving all symmetries of the microscopic Hamiltonian

$$S_{\varphi} = \int d^2 x d\tau \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \nabla_x \vec{\varphi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \partial_\tau \vec{\varphi} \right)^2 + \left( \lambda_c - \lambda \right) \vec{\varphi}^2 \right) + \frac{u}{4!} \left( \vec{\varphi}^2 \right)^2 \right]$$
  
S. Chakravarty, B.I. Halperin, and D.R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev.* B **39**, 2344 (1989)

For  $\lambda < \lambda_c$  oscillations of  $\vec{\varphi}$  about  $\vec{\varphi} = 0$  lead to the

following structure in the dynamic structure factor  $S(p, \omega)$ 



B. Mott insulators with spin S=1/2 per unit cell:

Berry phases, bond order, and the breakdown of the LGW paradigm







Ground state has Neel order with  $\vec{\varphi} \neq 0$ 



Destroy Neel order by perturbations which preserve full square lattice symmetry *e.g.* second-neighbor or ring exchange. The strength of this perturbation is measured by a coupling *g*. Small  $g \Rightarrow$  ground state has Neel order with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$ Large  $g \Rightarrow$  paramagnetic ground state with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$ 



Destroy Neel order by perturbations which preserve full square lattice symmetry *e.g.* second-neighbor or ring exchange. The strength of this perturbation is measured by a coupling *g*. Small  $g \Rightarrow$  ground state has Neel order with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$ Large  $g \Rightarrow$  paramagnetic ground state with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$ 



Possible large g paramagnetic ground state (Class A) with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$ 





Possible large g paramagnetic ground state (Class A) with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$ Such a state breaks the symmetry of rotations by  $n\pi/2$  about lattice sites, and has  $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ , where  $\Psi_{\text{bond}}$  is the **bond order parameter**  $\Psi_{\text{bond}}(i) = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j e^{i \arctan(r_j - r_i)}$ 



Possible large g paramagnetic ground state (Class A) with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$ Such a state breaks the symmetry of rotations by  $n\pi/2$  about lattice sites, and has  $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ , where  $\Psi_{\text{bond}}$  is the **bond order parameter**  $\Psi_{\text{bond}}(i) = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j e^{i \arctan(r_j - r_i)}$
### Mott insulator with one S=1/2 spin per unit cell



### Mott insulator with one S=1/2 spin per unit cell



Mott insulator with one S=1/2 spin per unit cell



Mott insulator with one S=1/2 spin per unit cell



Mott insulator with one S=1/2 spin per unit cell



Mott insulator with one S=1/2 spin per unit cell



Mott insulator with one S=1/2 spin per unit cell



### Resonating valence bonds





Resonance in benzene leads to a symmetric configuration of valence bonds (*F. Kekulé, L. Pauling*)



Different valence bond pairings resonate with each other, leading to a resonating valence bond *liquid*, (Class B paramagnet) with  $\langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle = 0$ 

P. Fazekas and P.W. Anderson, *Phil Mag* **30**, 23 (1974); P.W. Anderson 1987

Such states are associated with non-collinear spin correlations,  $Z_2$  gauge theory, and topological order.

N. Read and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1773 (1991); X. G. Wen, *Phys. Rev.* B **44**, 2664 (1991).

 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $S=1/2 \text{ spinons, } z_{\alpha}, \text{ are}$   $\underline{confined} \text{ into a } S=1$   $triplon, \vec{\varphi}$   $\vec{\varphi} \sim z_{\alpha}^{*} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} z_{\beta}$ 

 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $S=1/2 \text{ spinons, } z_{\alpha}, \text{ are}$   $\underline{confined} \text{ into a } S=1$   $\underline{triplon, } \vec{\phi}$   $\vec{\phi} \sim z_{\alpha}^{*} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} z_{\beta}$ 

$$\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle = 0$$
; Class B



 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $S=1/2 \text{ spinons, } z_{\alpha}, \text{ are}$   $\underline{confined} \text{ into a } S=1$   $\underline{triplon, } \vec{\phi}$   $\vec{\phi} \sim z_{\alpha}^{*} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} z_{\beta}$ 

$$\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle = 0$$
; Class B



 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $S=1/2 \text{ spinons, } z_{\alpha}, \text{ are}$   $\underline{confined} \text{ into a } S=1$   $\underline{triplon, } \vec{\phi}$   $\vec{\phi} \sim z_{\alpha}^{*} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} z_{\beta}$ 

$$\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle = 0$$
; Class B



 $\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle \neq 0$ ; Class A



 $S=1/2 \text{ spinons, } Z_{\alpha}, \text{ are}$   $\underline{confined} \text{ into a } S=1$   $\underline{triplon, } \vec{\phi}$   $\vec{\phi} \sim Z_{\alpha}^{*} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\beta}$ 

$$\langle \Psi_{\text{bond}} \rangle = 0$$
; Class B



S=1/2 *spinons* can propagate independently across the lattice

# Ingredient missing from LGW theory: Spin Berry Phases



# Ingredient missing from LGW theory: Spin Berry Phases





# Discretize imaginary time: path integral is over fields on the sites of a cubic lattice of points a



Discretize imaginary time: path integral is over fields on the sites of a cubic lattice of points a

Recall  $\vec{\varphi}_{a} = 2\eta_{a}\vec{S}_{a} \rightarrow \vec{\varphi}_{a} = (0,0,1)$  in classical Neel state;

 $\eta_{\rm a} \rightarrow \pm 1$  on two square sublattices ;



Discretize imaginary time: path integral is over fields on the sites of a cubic lattice of points a

Recall  $\vec{\varphi}_{a} = 2\eta_{a}\vec{S}_{a} \rightarrow \vec{\varphi}_{a} = (0,0,1)$  in classical Neel state;

 $\eta_{\rm a} \rightarrow \pm 1$  on two square sublattices ;

 $A_{a\mu} \rightarrow half$  oriented area of spherical triangle



Discretize imaginary time: path integral is over fields on the sites of a cubic lattice of points a

Recall  $\vec{\varphi}_a = 2\eta_a \vec{S}_a \rightarrow \vec{\varphi}_a = (0,0,1)$  in classical Neel state;

 $\eta_{\rm a} \rightarrow \pm 1$  on two square sublattices ;

 $A_{a\mu} \rightarrow half$  oriented area of spherical triangle



Discretize imaginary time: path integral is over fields on the sites of a cubic lattice of points a

Recall  $\vec{\varphi}_{a} = 2\eta_{a}\vec{S}_{a} \rightarrow \vec{\varphi}_{a} = (0,0,1)$  in classical Neel state;

 $\eta_{\rm a} \rightarrow \pm 1$  on two square sublattices ;

 $A_{a\mu} \rightarrow half$  oriented area of spherical triangle



Discretize imaginary time: path integral is over fields on the sites of a cubic lattice of points a

Recall  $\vec{\varphi}_{a} = 2\eta_{a}\vec{S}_{a} \rightarrow \vec{\varphi}_{a} = (0,0,1)$  in classical Neel state;

 $\eta_{\rm a} \rightarrow \pm 1$  on two square sublattices ;

 $A_{a\mu} \rightarrow half$  oriented area of spherical triangle



Discretize imaginary time: path integral is over fields on the sites of a cubic lattice of points a

Recall  $\vec{\varphi}_{a} = 2\eta_{a}\vec{S}_{a} \rightarrow \vec{\varphi}_{a} = (0,0,1)$  in classical Neel state;

 $\eta_{\rm a} \rightarrow \pm 1$  on two square sublattices ;

 $A_{a\mu} \rightarrow half$  oriented area of spherical triangle

formed by  $\vec{\varphi}_{a}$ ,  $\vec{\varphi}_{a+\mu}$ , and an arbitrary reference point  $\vec{\varphi}_{0}$ 

$$2A_{a\mu} \rightarrow 2A_{a\mu} - \gamma_{a+\mu} + \gamma_a$$

Change in choice of  $\vec{\varphi}_0$  is like a "gauge transformation"



Discretize imaginary time: path integral is over fields on the sites of a cubic lattice of points a

Recall  $\vec{\varphi}_{a} = 2\eta_{a}\vec{S}_{a} \rightarrow \vec{\varphi}_{a} = (0,0,1)$  in classical Neel state;

 $\eta_{\rm a} \rightarrow \pm 1$  on two square sublattices ;

 $A_{a\mu} \rightarrow half$  oriented area of spherical triangle

formed by  $\vec{\varphi}_{a}$ ,  $\vec{\varphi}_{a+\mu}$ , and an arbitrary reference point  $\vec{\varphi}_{0}$ 

a "gauge transformation"



The area of the triangle is uncertain modulo  $4\pi$ , and the action has to be invariant under  $A_{a\mu} \rightarrow A_{a\mu} + 2\pi$ 

S. Sachdev and K. Park, Annals of Physics, 298, 58 (2002)

# Ingredient missing from LGW theory: Spin Berry Phases



$$\exp\left(i\sum_{a}\eta_{a}A_{a\tau}\right)$$

Sum of Berry phases of all spins on the square lattice.

$$= \exp\left(i\sum_{a,\mu}J_{a\mu}A_{a\mu}\right)$$

with "current"  $J_{a\mu}$  of <u>static</u> charges  $\pm 1$  on sublattices

Partition function on cubic lattice

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int d\vec{\varphi}_{a} \delta\left(\vec{\varphi}_{a}^{2} - 1\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} \vec{\varphi}_{a} \cdot \vec{\varphi}_{a+\mu} + i \sum_{a} \eta_{a} A_{a\tau}\right)$$

Modulus of weights in partition function: those of a classical ferromagnet at a "temperature" *g* 

Small  $g \Rightarrow$  ground state has Neel order with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$ 

Large  $g \Rightarrow$  paramagnetic ground state with  $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$ Berry phases lead to large cancellations between different time histories  $\rightarrow$  need an effective action for  $A_{a\mu}$  at large g Simplest large g effective action for the  $A_{a\mu}$ 

$$Z = \prod_{a,\mu} \int dA_{a\mu} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2e^2} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right) + i \sum_{a} \eta_a A_{a\tau}\right)$$
  
with  $e^2 \sim g^2$ 

This is compact QED in 3 spacetime dimensions with static charges  $\pm 1$  on two sublattices.

N. Read and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 62, 1694 (1989).
S. Sachdev and R. Jalabert, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* B 4, 1043 (1990).
S. Sachdev and K. Park, *Annals of Physics*, 298, 58 (2002)

Exact duality transform on periodic Gaussian ("Villain") action for compact QED yields a representation in terms of a Coulomb gas of monopoles

$$Z_{\text{dual}} = \sum_{\{m_{\bar{j}}\}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2e^2} \sum_{\bar{j},\bar{j}'} \frac{m_{\bar{j}}m_{\bar{j}'}}{|r_{\bar{j}} - r_{\bar{j}'}|} + 2\pi i \sum_{\bar{j}} m_{\bar{j}} \mathcal{X}_{\bar{j}}\right)$$

with the  $m_{\bar{\jmath}}$  integer monopole charges. Each monopole carries a Berry phase (F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 1029 (1988)) determined by the fixed  $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\jmath}} = 0, 1/4, 1/2, 3/4$  on the four dual sublattices.



N. Read and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1694 (1989).

Alternative representation is in terms of a "height" model

$$Z_{\text{dual}} = \sum_{\{h_{\bar{j}}\}} \exp\left(-\frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{\bar{j}} \left(\Delta_{\mu} h_{\bar{j}} - \Delta_{\mu} \mathcal{X}_{\bar{j}}\right)^2\right)$$

with the  $h_{\bar{j}}$  integer heights. The Berry phases now lead to height 'offsets'  $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{j}} = 0, 1/4, 1/2, 3/4$  on the four dual sublattices.



For large  $e^2$ , low energy height configurations are in exact one-toone correspondence with nearest-neighbor valence bond pairings of the sites square lattice



There is no roughening transition for three dimensional interfaces, which are smooth for all couplings

- $\implies$  There is a definite average height of the interface
- ⇒ Ground state has bond order.

N. Read and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1694 (1989).

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int d\vec{\varphi}_{a} \delta\left(\vec{\varphi}_{a}^{2} - 1\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} \vec{\varphi}_{a} \cdot \vec{\varphi}_{a+\mu} + i \sum_{a} \eta_{a} A_{a\tau}\right)$$

?



Neel order  $\left\langle \vec{\varphi} \right\rangle \neq 0$ 


Naïve approach: add bond order parameter to LGW theory "by hand"

Neel order  
$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle = 0$$
First  
order  
 $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle \neq 0$ Neel order  
 $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle = 0$ Coexistence  
 $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle \neq 0$ Bond order  
 $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle \neq 0$ Neel order  
 $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle \neq 0$  $(\vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle \neq 0)$  $\vec{\varphi} \rangle$ Neel order  
 $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle = 0$ "disordered"  
 $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle \neq 0$ Neel order  
 $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle = 0$  $(\vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0, \langle \Psi_{bond} \rangle \neq 0)$ 

9

Bond order in a frustrated S=1/2 XY magnet

A. W. Sandvik, S. Daul, R. R. P. Singh, and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 247201 (2002)

First <u>large scale</u> (> 8000 spins) numerical study of the destruction of Neel order in a S=1/2 antiferromagnet with full square lattice symmetry



 $H = 2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left( S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y \right) - K \sum_{\langle ijkl \rangle \subset \Box} \left( S_i^+ S_j^- S_k^+ S_l^- + S_i^- S_j^+ S_k^- S_l^+ \right)$ 

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int d\vec{\varphi}_{a} \delta\left(\vec{\varphi}_{a}^{2} - 1\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} \vec{\varphi}_{a} \cdot \vec{\varphi}_{a+\mu} + i \sum_{a} \eta_{a} A_{a\tau}\right)$$

?



Neel order  $\left\langle \vec{\varphi} \right\rangle \neq 0$ 



<u>Alternative formulation to describe transition:</u> Express theory in terms of a complex spinor  $z_{a\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = \uparrow, \downarrow$ , with

$$\mathbf{n}_{a} = z_{a\alpha}^{*} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} z_{a\beta}$$

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int dz_{a\alpha} dA_{a\mu} \delta \left( |z_{a\alpha}|^{2} - 1 \right)$$

$$\exp \left( \frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} z_{a\alpha}^{*} e^{iA_{a\mu}} z_{a+\mu,\alpha} + \text{c.c.} + i \sum_{a} \eta_{a} A_{a\tau} \right)$$



S. Sachdev and R. Jalabert, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* B **4**, 1043 (1990). S. Sachdev and K. Park, *Annals of Physics* **298**, 58 (2002). <u>Theory of a second-order quantum phase transition</u> <u>between Neel and bond-ordered phases</u>

At the quantum critical point:

•  $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu} + 2\pi$  periodicity can be ignored

(Monopoles interfere destructively and are dangerously irrelevant).

• S=1/2 spinons  $z_{\alpha}$ , with  $\vec{\varphi} \sim z_{\alpha}^* \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} z_{\beta}$ , are globally propagating degrees of freedom.

Second-order critical point described by emergent fractionalized degrees of freedom ( $A_{\mu}$  and  $z_{\alpha}$ ); Order parameters ( $\phi$  and  $\Psi_{bond}$ ) are "composites" and of secondary importance

S. Sachdev and R. Jalabert, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* B 4, 1043 (1990); G. Murthy and S. Sachdev, *Nuclear Physics* B 344, 557 (1990); C. Lannert, M.P.A. Fisher, and T. Senthil, *Phys. Rev.* B 63, 134510 (2001); S. Sachdev and K. Park, *Annals of Physics*, 298, 58 (2002);
O. Motrunich and A. Vishwanath, cond-mat/0311222.

T. Senthil, A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, S. Sachdev and M.P.A. Fisher, Science 303, 1490 (2004).



#### **Phase diagram of S=1/2 square lattice antiferromagnet**



S. Sachdev cond-mat/0401041.



B. Mott insulators with spin S=1/2 per unit cell:

Berry phases, bond order, and the breakdown of the LGW paradigm Order parameters/broken symmetry

Emergent gauge excitations, fractionalization.

# C. Technical details

Duality and dangerously irrelevant operators

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int dz_{a\alpha} dA_{a\mu} \delta \left( |z_{a\alpha}|^2 - 1 \right)$$
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} z_{a\alpha}^* e^{iA_{a\mu}} z_{a+\mu,\alpha} + \text{c.c.} + i \sum_{a} \eta_a A_{a\tau} + \frac{1}{e^2} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$

Use a sequence of simpler models which can be analyzed by duality mappings

- A. Non-compact QED with scalar matter
- B. Compact QED with scalar matter
- C. N=1: Compact QED with scalar matter and Berry phases
- D.  $N \rightarrow \infty$  theory
- E. Easy plane case for N=2

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int dz_{a\alpha} dA_{a\mu} \delta \left( |z_{a\alpha}|^2 - 1 \right)$$
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} z_{a\alpha}^* e^{iA_{a\mu}} z_{a+\mu,\alpha} + \text{c.c.} + i \sum_{a} \eta_a A_{a\tau} + \frac{1}{e^2} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$

Use a sequence of simpler models which can be analyzed by duality mappings

## A. Non-compact QED with scalar matter

- B. Compact QED with scalar matter C. N=1: Compact QED with scalar matter and Berry phases D.  $N \rightarrow \infty$  theory
- E. Easy plane case for N=2

#### A. N=1, non-compact U(1), no Berry phases

Use  $z_a = e^{i\theta_a}$  and then

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int d\theta_{a} dA_{a\mu} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2e^{2}} \sum_{\Box} \left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} \theta_{a} - A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$

Standard duality maps, similar to those discussed earlier, show that this theory is equivalent to an **inverted XY model**, described by the field theory

$$Z_{\text{dual}} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left(-\int d^2x d\tau \left(|\partial_{\mu}\psi|^2 + r|\psi|^2 + \frac{u}{2}|\psi|^4\right)\right)$$

Here  $\psi$  is a *dual* field which orders in the paramagnetic phase *i.e.*  $\langle \psi \rangle \neq 0$  where  $\langle e^{i\theta} \rangle = 0$ , and vice versa. The field  $\psi$  is a creation operator for *vortices* in the original theory of a "Ginzburg-Landau superconductor" coupled to "electromagnetism".

C. Dasgupta and B.I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 47, 1556 (1981).

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int dz_{a\alpha} dA_{a\mu} \delta \left( |z_{a\alpha}|^2 - 1 \right)$$
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} z_{a\alpha}^* e^{iA_{a\mu}} z_{a+\mu,\alpha} + \text{c.c.} + i \sum_{a} \eta_a A_{a\tau} + \frac{1}{e^2} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$

Use a sequence of simpler models which can be analyzed by duality mappings

- A. Non-compact QED with scalar matter
- B. Compact QED with scalar matter
- C. N=1: Compact QED with scalar matter and Berry phases
- D.  $N \rightarrow \infty$  theory
- E. Easy plane case for N=2

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int dz_{a\alpha} dA_{a\mu} \delta \left( |z_{a\alpha}|^2 - 1 \right)$$
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} z_{a\alpha}^* e^{iA_{a\mu}} z_{a+\mu,\alpha} + \text{c.c.} + i \sum_{a} \eta_a A_{a\tau} + \frac{1}{e^2} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$

Use a sequence of simpler models which can be analyzed by duality mappings

A. Non-compact QED with scalar matter

### **B.** Compact QED with scalar matter

- C. N=1: Compact QED with scalar matter and Berry phases D.  $N \rightarrow \infty$  theory
- E. Easy plane case for N=2

#### **B.** *N*=1, compact U(1), no Berry phases

Use  $z_a = e^{i\theta_a}$  and then

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int d\theta_{a} dA_{a\mu} \exp\left(\frac{1}{e^{2}} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right) + \frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} \theta_{a} - A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$

The Dasgupta-Halperin mapping now yields the dual theory

$$Z_{\text{dual}} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left(-\int d^2x d\tau \left(|\partial_\mu \psi|^2 + r|\psi|^2 + \frac{u}{2}|\psi|^4 - y_m(\psi + \psi^*)\right)\right)$$

Here  $y_m$  is a monopole fugacity, and the last term in  $Z_{dual}$  accounts for the fact that vortex lines can end in monopoles.

This dual theory is an **inverted XY model in a "magnetic" field** and it has no phase transition. In the direct theory, the monopoles are a relevant perturbation, and they destroy the "superconducting" phase.

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int dz_{a\alpha} dA_{a\mu} \delta \left( |z_{a\alpha}|^2 - 1 \right)$$
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} z_{a\alpha}^* e^{iA_{a\mu}} z_{a+\mu,\alpha} + \text{c.c.} + i \sum_{a} \eta_a A_{a\tau} + \frac{1}{e^2} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$

Use a sequence of simpler models which can be analyzed by duality mappings

- A. Non-compact QED with scalar matter
- B. Compact QED with scalar matter
- C. N=1: Compact QED with scalar matter and Berry phases
- D.  $N \rightarrow \infty$  theory
- E. Easy plane case for N=2

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int dz_{a\alpha} dA_{a\mu} \delta \left( |z_{a\alpha}|^2 - 1 \right)$$
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} z_{a\alpha}^* e^{iA_{a\mu}} z_{a+\mu,\alpha} + \text{c.c.} + i \sum_{a} \eta_a A_{a\tau} + \frac{1}{e^2} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$

Use a sequence of simpler models which can be analyzed by duality mappings

A. Non-compact QED with scalar matter
B. Compact QED with scalar matter
C. N=1: Compact QED with scalar matter and Berry phases
D. N → ∞ theory
E. Easy plane case for N=2

#### C. N=1, compact U(1), Berry phases

Upon including Berry phases, the previous theory becomes

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int d\theta_{a} dA_{a\mu} \exp\left(\frac{1}{e^{2}} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right) + \frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} \theta_{a} - A_{a\mu}\right) + i \sum_{a} \eta_{a} A_{a\tau}\right)$$

The Dasgupta-Halperin duality can also be extended to this theory, and we obtain

$$Z_{\text{dual}} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left(-\int d^2x d\tau \left(|\partial_\mu \psi|^2 + r|\psi|^2 + \frac{u}{2}|\psi|^4 - \tilde{y}_m(\psi^4 + \psi^{*4})\right)\right)$$

S. Sachdev and R. Jalabert, Mod. Phys. Lett. 4, 1043 (1990).

#### C. N=1, compact U(1), Berry phases

$$Z_{\text{dual}} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left(-\int d^2x d\tau \left(|\partial_\mu \psi|^2 + r|\psi|^2 + \frac{u}{2}|\psi|^4 - \tilde{y}_m(\psi^4 + \psi^{*4})\right)\right)$$

This is an **inverted XY model with a four-fold anisotropy**, *i.e.* a  $Z_4$  clock model. The four-fold anisotropy is irrelevant at the critical point (J.M. Carmona, A. Pelissetto, E. Vicari, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 15136 (2000)), and hence there is a XY transition to a four-fold degenerate state with  $\langle \psi \rangle \neq 0$ . In the direct theory, this is the *bond-ordered* paramagnet.

S. Sachdev and R. Jalabert, Mod. Phys. Lett. 4, 1043 (1990).

#### C. N=1, compact U(1), Berry phases

$$Z_{\text{dual}} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left(-\int d^2x d\tau \left(|\partial_\mu \psi|^2 + r|\psi|^2 + \frac{u}{2}|\psi|^4 - \tilde{y}_m(\psi^4 + \psi^{*4})\right)\right)$$

**Reinterpretation by T. Senthil**: In the direct theory, the irrelevance of  $\tilde{y}_m$  implies that the Berry phases have cancelled out the monopole contributions. So monopoles are 'dangerously irrelevant' at the critical point, and the critical theory is the same Dasgupta-Halperin inverted XY model describing the non-compact theory without monopoles or Berry phases!

$$Z = \prod_{a} \int dz_{a\alpha} dA_{a\mu} \delta\left(|z_{a\alpha}|^{2} - 1\right)$$

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{a,\mu} z_{a\alpha}^{*} e^{iA_{a\mu}} z_{a+\mu,\alpha} + \text{c.c.} + i \sum_{a} \eta_{a} A_{a\tau} + \frac{1}{e^{2}} \sum_{\Box} \cos\left(\Delta_{\mu} A_{a\nu} - \Delta_{\nu} A_{a\mu}\right)\right)$$
Use a sequence of simpler models which can be analyzed by  
duality mappings
A. Non-compact QED with scalar matter
B. Compact QED with scalar matter
C.  $N=1$ : Compact QED with scalar matter
C.  $N=1$ : Compact QED with scalar matter
D.  $N \to \infty$  theory
E. Easy plane case for  $N=2$ 



E. Easy plane case for *N*=2

### **D.** $N \rightarrow \infty$ , compact U(1), Berry phases

Near the critical point of the  $N = \infty$  non-compact theory, integrate out  $z_{\alpha}$  quanta (with gap  $\Delta$ ) in the presence of a Dirac monopole with  $A_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{D}$  with magnetic charge q. The functional determinant yields the action of such a monopole, and the scaling dimension of the monopole insertion

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\text{monopole}} &= N \text{Tr} \ln \left[ \frac{-(\partial_{\mu} - iA^{D}_{\mu})^{2} + \Delta^{2} + V(r)}{-\partial_{\mu}^{2} + \Delta^{2}} \right] - \frac{N}{g} \int d^{3}r V(r) \\ \text{where } \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}_{\text{monopole}}}{\delta V(r)} &= 0 \text{ and } V(r \to \infty) = 0. \end{split}$$

Evaluation of functional determinant for q = 4 shows

$$S_{\text{monopole}} = 0.815787N \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\Delta}\right)$$

This computation shows that the scaling dimension of q = 4 monopoles is 3 - 0.815787N

Monopoles are irrelevant both with and without Berry phases for large N.

G. Murthy and S. Sachdev, Nucl. Phys. B 344, 557 (1990).

#### **E.** Easy plane case for *N*=2

Explicit duality mappings show that the physical situation is as for N = 1:

- monopoles are relevant without Berry phases,
- monopoles are irrelevant at the critical point in the presence of Berry phases, and
- monopoles drive the appearance of bond order in the paramagnetic phase.

$$Z_{\text{dual}} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi_1 \mathcal{D}\psi_2 \mathcal{D}a_\mu \exp\left(-\int d^2 x d\tau \left(|(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu)\psi_1|^2 + |(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu)\psi_2|^2 + r\left(|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2\right) + \frac{u}{2}\left(|\psi_1|^4 + |\psi_2|^4\right) - \tilde{y}_m\left((\psi_1^*\psi_2)^4 + (\psi_1\psi_2^*)^4\right)\right)\right)$$

C. Lannert, M.P.A. Fisher, and T. Senthil, *Phys. Rev.* B 63, 134510 (2001).
S. Sachdev and K. Park, *Annals of Physics*, 298, 58 (2002).
O. Motrunich and A. Vishwanath, cond-mat/0311222

T. Senthil, A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, and M. P. A. Fisher, *Science* **303**, 1490 (2004).

#### **Phase diagram of S=1/2 square lattice antiferromagnet**



S. Sachdev cond-mat/0401041.