Competing orders in the high temperature superconductors: implications of recent experiments

Chiranjeeb Buragohain Eugene Demler (Harvard) Kwon Park Anatoli Polkovnikov Subir Sachdev Matthias Vojta (Augsburg) Ying Zhang



Transparencies on-line at http://pantheon.yale.edu/~subir



Parent compound of the high temperature superconductors: La_2CuO_4

Square lattice antiferromagnet

 $H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \, \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$



Ground state has long-range magnetic (Neel) order

$$\left\langle \vec{S}_{i} \right\rangle = \left(-1\right)^{i_{x}+i_{y}} N_{0} \neq 0$$

Introduce mobile carriers of density δ by substitutional doping of out-of-plane ions *e.g.* La_{2- δ}Sr_{δ}CuO₄



Exhibits superconductivity below a high critical temperature T_c

Almost all $T \rightarrow 0$ properties can be understood in the framework of a standard BCS theory in which the electrons form spin-singlet, *d*-wave Cooper pairs. However, many $T > T_c$ properties are anomalous.

As
$$T \to 0$$
, $\langle S_i \rangle = 0$ and $\chi_{spin} = 0$



Zn impurity in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.7}

Moments measured by analysis of Knight shifts

M.-H. Julien, T. Feher,
M. Horvatic, C. Berthier,
O. N. Bakharev, P. Segransan,
G. Collin, and J.-F. Marucco,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3422
(2000); also earlier work of
the group of H. Alloul and the
original experiment of
A.M Finkelstein, V.E. Kataev,
E.F. Kukovitskii, and
G.B. Teitel'baum, Physica C
168, 370 (1990).



Berry phases of precessing spins do not cancel between the sublattices in the vicinity of the impurity: net uncancelled phase of S=1/2



Outline

- I. Why do non-magnetic impurities acquire a S=1/2 moment ?
 - A. Insulating quantum paramagnets
 - B. Doped antiferromagnets
- II. Effect on Zn impurities on S=1 spin exciton. Comparison of theory with neutron scattering experiments.
- III. Effect of magnetic field on antiferromagnetic order in superconductor.

Comparison of theory with neutron scattering experiments.

IV. Conclusions

I. Why do non-magnetic impurities acquire a S=1/2 moment ?

I.A Insulating quantum paramagnets



Quantum dimer model – D. Rokhsar and S. Kivelson Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2376 (1988)



Quantum "entropic" effects prefer one-dimensional striped structures in which the largest number of singlet pairs can resonate. The state on the upper left has more flippable pairs of singlets than the one on the lower left.

These effects always lead to a broken square lattice symmetry near the transition to the Neel state.

N. Read and S. Sachdev Phys. Rev. B 42, 4568 (1990).

Excitations

Stable S=1 spin exciton
Energy dispersion
$$\varepsilon_k = \Delta + \frac{c_x^2 k_x^2 + c_y^2 k_y^2}{2\Delta}$$
 $\Delta \rightarrow$ Spin gap



S=1/2 spinons are linearly confined by the line of "defect" singlet pairs between them

Effect of static non-magnetic impurities (Zn or Li)





Spinon confinement implies that free S=1/2moments <u>must</u> form near each impurity

$$\chi_{\text{impurity}}(T \to 0) = \frac{S(S+1)}{3k_B T}$$

Paramagnetic ground state with spinon deconfinement





Free S=1/2 moments need not be present near the impurities

Translationally invariant "spin liquid" state obtained by a quantum transition from a magnetically ordered state with **<u>co-planar</u>** spin polarization. Can also appear in frustrated square lattice antiferromagnets – transition to confined states is described by a Z_2 gauge theory

$$\chi_{\rm impurity}(T \to 0) = 0$$

N. Read and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1773 (1991).
R. Jalabert and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 44, 686 (1991).

P. Fazekas and P.W. Anderson, Phil Mag 30, 23 (1974).
S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 45, 12377 (1992).
G. Misguich and C. Lhuillier, cond-mat/0002170.
R. Moessner and S.L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1881 (2001)



Summary:

- Confined, paramagnetic Mott insulator necessarily has
- 1. Stable *S*=1 spin exciton.
- 2. Broken translational symmetry:- bondcentered charge stripe order.
- *3. S*=1/2 moments near non-magnetic impurities.

These properties are expected to survive for a finite range of δ in the superconducting states





Many experimental indications that the cuprate superconductors are not too far from such a quantum phase transition:

G. Aeppli, T.E. Mason, S.M. Hayden, H.A. Mook, J. Kulda, Science 278, 1432 (1997).

Y. S. Lee, R. J. Birgeneau, M. A. Kastner et al., Phys. Rev. B 60, 3643 (1999).

S. Katano, M. Sato, K. Yamada, T. Suzuki, and T. Fukase Phys. Rev. B 62, 14677 (2000).

B. Lake, G. Aeppli et al., Science to appear.

Y. Sidis, C. Ulrich, P. Bourges, et al., cond-mat/0101095.

H. Mook, P. Dai, F. Dogan, cond-mat/0102047.

J.E. Sonier et al., preprint.

Outline

- I. Why do non-magnetic impurities acquire a S=1/2 moment ?
 - A. Insulating quantum paramagnets
 - B. Doped antiferromagnets
- II. Effect on Zn impurities on S=1 spin exciton. Comparison of theory with neutron scattering experiments.
- III. Effect of magnetic field on antiferromagnetic order in superconductor.

Comparison of theory with neutron scattering experiments.

IV. Conclusions

II. Effect of Zn impurities on S=1 spin exciton

<u>S=1 spin exciton mode in YBCO</u>



H.F. Fong, B. Keimer, D. Reznik, D.L. Milius, and I.A. Aksay, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 6708 (1996)

Spin-1 collective mode in $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ - little observable damping at low T.

Coupling to superconducting quasiparticles unimportant



FIG. 8. Unpolarized beam, constant-Q data [Q=(3/2,1/2,-1.7)]of the 40 meV magnetic resonance obtained by subtracting the signal below T_c from the T=100 K background. The lines are fits to Gaussians, as described in the text. For clarity successive scans are offset by 100.

Resolution limited width

Quantum field theory for *S*=1 exciton near SC to SC+SDW quantum phase transition



$YBa_2Cu_3O_7 + 0.5\%$ Zn

H. F. Fong, P. Bourges,
Y. Sidis, L. P. Regnault,
J. Bossy, A. Ivanov,
D.L. Milius, I. A. Aksay,
and B. Keimer,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1939
(1999)



Zn induced half-width = 4.25 meV



Quantum field theory for S=1 resonance in the presence of a non-magnetic impurity

Orientation of "impurity" spin -- $n_{\alpha}(\tau)$ (unit vector)

Action of "impurity" spin

$$S_{\rm imp} = \int d\tau \left[iSA_{\alpha}(n) \frac{dn_{\alpha}}{d\tau} - \gamma Sn_{\alpha}(\tau) \phi_{\alpha}(x=0,\tau) \right]$$

 $A_{\alpha}(n) \rightarrow$ Dirac monopole function

Boundary quantum field theory: $S_b + S_{imp}$

Recall -

$$S_{b} = \int d^{2}x d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{\alpha} \right)^{2} + c^{2} \left(\partial_{\tau} \phi_{\alpha} \right)^{2} + r \phi_{\alpha}^{2} \right) + \frac{g}{4!} \left(\phi_{\alpha}^{2} \right)^{2} \right]$$

Renormalization group analysis: g and γ reach nonzero fixed point values

Zn impurity in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.7}

Moments measured by analysis of Knight shifts

M.-H. Julien, T. Feher,
M. Horvatic, C. Berthier,
O. N. Bakharev, P. Segransan,
G. Collin, and J.-F. Marucco,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3422
(2000); also earlier work of
the group of H. Alloul and the
original experiment of
A.M Finkelstein, V.E. Kataev,
E.F. Kukovitskii, and
G.B. Teitel'baum, Physica C
168, 370 (1990).



Berry phases of precessing spins do not cancel between the sublattices in the vicinity of the impurity: net uncancelled phase of S=1/2

Predictions of quantum field theory

Without impurities $\chi(G,\omega) = \frac{A}{\Delta^2 - \omega^2}$ With impurities $\chi(G, \omega) = \frac{A}{\Delta^2} \Phi\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{\Delta}, \frac{\Gamma}{\Delta}\right)$ $\Phi \longrightarrow Universal scaling function. We computed it in a$ $<math display="block">\Gamma = \frac{n_{imp}(\hbar c)^2}{\Lambda}$ $rac{rossing" approximation}$ 40 $\Gamma/\Delta = 0.05$



Predictions: Half-width of line $\approx \Gamma$

Universal asymmetric lineshape

S. Sachdev, C. Buragohain, M. Vojta, Science 286, 2479 (1999).

M. Vojta, C. Buragohain, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 61, 15152 (2000).



III. Effect of magnetic field on SDW order in SC phase



Elastic neutron scattering off La_2CuO_{4+v}

B. Khaykovich, Y. S. Lee, S. Wakimoto, K. J. Thomas,

M. A. Kastner, and R.J. Birgeneau, preprint.

- Theory should account for quantum spin fluctuations
- All effects are ~ H^2 except those associated with H induced superflow.
- Can treat SC order in a static Ginzburg-Landau theory

Action $F_{GL}/T + S_b + S_c$

$$F_{GL} = \int d^{2}x \left[-|\psi|^{2} + \frac{|\psi|^{4}}{2} + |(\nabla_{x} - iA)\psi|^{2} \right]$$



See also S.C. Zhang, Science, **275**, 1089 (1997); D. P. Arovas *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2871 (1997).

$$S_{b} = \int d^{2}x \int_{0}^{1/T} d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{\alpha} \right)^{2} + c^{2} \left(\partial_{\tau} \phi_{\alpha} \right)^{2} + r \phi_{\alpha}^{2} \right) + \frac{g}{4!} \left(\phi_{\alpha}^{2} \right)^{2} \right]$$

Self-consistent Hartree theory of quantum spin fluctuations (large *N* limit)

$$\chi(x, x', \omega_n) \delta_{\alpha\beta} = \left\langle \phi_\alpha(x, \omega_n) \phi_\beta(x', -\omega_n) \right\rangle$$

$$\left(\omega_n^2 - c^2 \nabla_x^2 + V(x)\right) \chi(x, x', \omega_n) = \delta(x - x')$$
$$V(x) = r + V |\Psi(x)|^2 + (NgT/6) \sum_{\omega_n} \chi(x, x, \omega_n)$$

$$\left[-1+\left|\psi\left(x\right)\right|^{2}-\left(\nabla_{x}-i\vec{A}\right)^{2}\right]\psi\left(x\right)+\left(N\sqrt{T}/2\right)\sum_{\omega_{n}}\chi\left(x,x,\omega_{n}\right)\psi\left(x\right)=0$$

V (x) → local classical energy of spin fluctuations; can
 become negative in vortex cores for V > 0.
 However, spin gap remains finite because of quantum fluctuations



As $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, because of self interaction, *g*, of spin excitations. A.J. Bray and M.A. Moore, J. Phys. C **15**, L765 (1982). J.A. Hertz, A. Fleishman, and P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 942 (1979). Influence of $\psi(x)$ on extended spin eigenmodes:

 $|\psi(x)| = 1 - \frac{1}{2x^2}$ outside each vortex core because of superflow kinetic energy

$$\left\langle \left| \psi \left(x \right) \right|^2 \right\rangle = 1 - \frac{H}{2H_{c2}} \ln \left(\frac{3.0H_{c2}}{H} \right)$$

In SC phase, spin gap obeys:

$$\Delta(H) = \Delta(0) - \frac{24\pi c^2 V}{Ng \left(1 - \frac{3V^2}{g}\right)^2} \frac{H}{2H_{c2}} \ln\left(\frac{3.0H_{c2}}{H}\right)$$

In SC+SDW phase, intensity of elastic scattering obeys:

$$I(H) = I(0) + \frac{6V}{g\left(1 - \frac{3V^2}{g}\right)} \frac{H}{2H_{c2}} \ln\left(\frac{3.0H_{c2}}{H}\right)$$



Elastic neutron scattering off La₂CuO_{4+y} B. Khaykovich, Y. S. Lee, S. Wakimoto, K. J. Thomas, M. A. Kastner, and R.J. Birgeneau, preprint.

Solid line --- fit to :

$$\frac{I(H)}{I(0)} = 1 + a \frac{H}{H_{c2}} \ln\left(\frac{3.0H_{c2}}{H}\right)$$

a is the only fitting parameter Best fit value - a = 2.4 with $H_{c2} = 60$ T

Dynamic spin susceptibility $\chi''(k,\omega)$ in vortex lattice phase



Consequences of a finite London penetration depth (finite κ)



Conclusions

- 1. Strong experimental evidence for S=1/2 moment near Zn and Li impurities in the underdoped high temperature superconductor.
- 2. New boundary conformal quantum field theory in 2+1 dimensions describes scattering of spin resonance mode off "non-magnetic" impurities.
- 3. This, and other properties of the high temperature superconductors (existence of S=1 spin resonance mode, possible bond-centered charge stripe (spin-Peierls) order) are naturally understood by a theory of doping Mott insulators with confinement.
- 4. Much to be learned from interplay of SDW and superconductivity by applying an external magnetic field.



