$$H = -\sum_{i < j} w_{ij} \left(c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} + c_{j\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i} n_{i} \left(n_{i} - 1 \right) \quad ; \quad n_{i} = c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha} \quad ; \quad \text{Vary } U/\overline{w}$$

A. *S*=1/2 fermions (Si:P, 2DEG) *Metal-insulator transition* Evolution of magnetism across transition.

Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A **356**, 173 (1998) (cond-mat/9705074) *Pramana* **58**, 285 (2002) (cond-mat/0109309)



B. *S*=0 bosons (ultracold atoms in an optical lattice) *Superfluid-insulator transition*

Mott insulator in a strong electric field - $Ea \approx U$

S. Sachdev, K. Sengupta, S.M. Girvin, cond-mat/0205169

Transport in coupled quantum dots 150000 dots



Talk online at

http://pantheon.yale.edu/~subir



<u>A. S=1/2 fermions</u> U/\overline{w} small ; charge transport "metallic"

Magnetic properties of a single impurity



Low temperature magnetism dominated by such impurities

M. Milovanovic, S. Sachdev, R.N. Bhatt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 82 (1989). R.N. Bhatt and D.S. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 3072 (1992). <u>A. S=1/2 fermions</u> U/\overline{w} large ; charge transport "insulating" $H = \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$; $J_{ij} \sim \exp\left(-\left|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j\right|/a\right)$ Spins pair up in singlets $= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - \left|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\right)\right)$

Strong disorder

Random singlet phase

$$P(J) \sim J^{-\alpha} \Rightarrow \chi \sim T^{-\alpha} \quad ; \ \alpha \approx 0.8$$

Weak disorder

Spin gap

$$\chi \sim \exp\left(-\Delta_T\right)$$

R.N. Bhatt and P.A. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48, 344 (1982).
B. Bernu, L. Candido, and D.M. Ceperley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 870 (2002).
G. Misguich, B. Bernu, C. Lhuillier, and C. Waldtmann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 1098 (1998).



Higher density of moments + longer range of exchange interaction induces spinglass order. Also suggested by strong-coupling flow of triplet interaction amplitude in Finkelstein's (*Z. Phys. B* **56**, 189 (1984)) renormalized weak-disorder expansion.

Glassy behavior observed by S. Bogdanovich and D. Popovic, cond-mat/0106545.

S. Sachdev, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc.* **356A**, 173 (1998) (cond-mat/9705074); S. Sachdev, *Pramana.* **58**, 285 (2002) (cond-mat/0109309).



Singular behavior at a critical field at *T*=0 Possibly related to observations of S. A. Vitkalov, H. Zheng, K. M. Mertes, M. P. Sarachik, and T. M. Klapwijk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **8**7, 086401 (2001).

> S. Sachdev, *Pramana*. **58**, 285 (2002) (cond-mat/0109309). N. Read, S. Sachdev, and J. Ye, *Phys. Rev.* B **52**, 384 (1995);

B. S=0 bosons

Superfluid-insulator transition of ⁸⁷Rb atoms in a magnetic trap and an optical lattice potential



M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, *Nature* **415**, 39 (2002).

Related earlier work by C. Orzel, A.K. Tuchman, M. L. Fenselau, M. Yasuda, and M. A. Kasevich, *Science* **291**, 2386 (2001).

Detection method

Trap is released and atoms expand to a distance far larger than original trap dimension

$$\psi(\mathbf{R},T) = \exp\left(i\frac{m\mathbf{R}^2}{2\hbar T}\right)\psi(\mathbf{0},0) \approx \exp\left(i\frac{m\mathbf{R}_0^2}{2\hbar T} + i\frac{m\mathbf{R}_0\cdot\mathbf{r}}{\hbar T}\right)\psi(\mathbf{0},0)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_0 + \mathbf{r}$, with \mathbf{R}_0 = the expansion distance, and \mathbf{r} = position within trap

In tight-binding model of lattice bosons b_i ,

detection probability
$$\propto \sum_{i,j} \langle b_i^{\dagger} b_j \rangle \exp\left(i \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_j\right)\right)$$
 with $\boldsymbol{q} = \frac{m \boldsymbol{R}_0}{\hbar T}$

Measurement of momentum distribution function

Superfluid state



Schematic three-dimensional interference pattern with measured absorption images taken along two orthogonal directions. The absorption images were obtained after ballistic expansion from a lattice with a potential depth of $V_0 = 10 E_r$ and a time of flight of 15 ms.

Superfluid-insulator transition







0

 $V_0 = 13E_r$

 $V_0 = 14E_r$



M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, Nature 415, 39 (2002).





$$H = -w \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (b_i^{\dagger} b_j + b_j^{\dagger} b_i) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_i n_i (n_i - 1) - \sum_i \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i n_i$$
$$n_i = b_i^{\dagger} b_i$$

$$|U-E|, w \ll E, U$$

<u>Describe spectrum in subspace of states resonantly</u> <u>coupled to the Mott insulator</u>



Effective Hamiltonian for a quasiparticle in one dimension (similar for a quasihole):

$$H_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{j} \left[3w \left(b_{j}^{\dagger} b_{j+1} + b_{j+1}^{\dagger} b_{j} \right) + E j b_{j}^{\dagger} b_{j} \right]$$

Exact eigenvalues $\mathcal{E}_m = Em$; $m = -\infty \cdots \infty$ Exact eigenvectors $\psi_m(j) = J_{j-m}(6w/E)$

All charged excitations are strongly localized in the plane perpendicular electric field. Wavefunction is periodic in time, with period h/E (Bloch oscillations) Quasiparticles and quasiholes are not accelerated out to infinity Semiclassical picture



In a Experimentatic particular is transported to the second secon

Important neutral excitations (in one dimension)



A non-dipole state



State has energy 3(U-E) but is connected to resonant state by a matrix element smaller than w^2/U

State is not part of resonant manifold

Hamiltonian for resonant dipole states (in one dimension)

$$d_{\ell}^{\dagger} \Rightarrow \text{Creates dipole on link } \ell$$
$$H_{d} = -\sqrt{6}w \sum_{\ell} \left(d_{\ell}^{\dagger} + d_{\ell} \right) + (U - E) \sum_{\ell} d_{\ell}^{\dagger} d_{\ell}$$
$$\text{Constraints:} \quad d_{\ell}^{\dagger} d_{\ell} \leq 1 \quad ; \quad d_{\ell+1}^{\dagger} d_{\ell+1} d_{\ell}^{\dagger} d_{\ell} = 0$$

Determine phase diagram of H_d as a function of (U-E)/w

Note: there is <u>no explicit dipole hopping term</u>.

However, dipole hopping is generated by the interplay of terms in H_d and the constraints.

Weak electric fields: $(U-E) \gg w$

Ground state is dipole vacuum (Mott insulator) $|0\rangle$

First excited levels: single dipole states $d_{\ell}^{\dagger} \left| 0 \right\rangle$

Effective hopping between dipole states



If both processes are permitted, they exactly cancel each other. The top processes is blocked when ℓ, m are nearest neighbors

 \Rightarrow A nearest-neighbor dipole hopping term $\sim \frac{w^2}{U-E}$ is generated

Strong electric fields: $(E-U) \gg w$



Resonant states in higher dimensions



Hamiltonian for resonant states in higher dimensions

 $p_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} \Rightarrow$ Creates quasiparticle in column ℓ and transverse position n $h_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} \Rightarrow$ Creates quasihole in column ℓ and transverse position n

$$\begin{split} H_{ph} &= -\sqrt{6} w \sum_{\ell,n} \left(p_{\ell+1,n} h_{\ell,n} + p_{\ell+1,n}^{\dagger} h_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} \right) & \text{Terms as in one dimension} \\ &+ \frac{(U-E)}{2} \sum_{\ell,n} \left(p_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} p_{\ell,n} + h_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} h_{\ell,n} \right) & \text{Terms as in one dimension} \\ &- w \sum_{\ell,\langle nm \rangle} \left(2h_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} h_{\ell,m} + 3p_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} p_{\ell,m} + \text{H.c.} \right) & \text{Transverse hopping} \\ p_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} p_{\ell,n} \leq 1 \quad ; \quad h_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} h_{\ell,n} \leq 1 \quad ; \quad p_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} p_{\ell,n} h_{\ell,n}^{\dagger} h_{\ell,n} = 0 & \text{Constraints} \end{split}$$

New possibility: superfluidity in transverse direction (a smectic)

Possible phase diagrams in higher dimensions



Implications for experiments

•Observed resonant response is due to gapless spectrum near quantum critical point(s).

•Transverse superfluidity (smectic order) can be detected by looking for "Bragg lines" in momentum distribution function---bosons are phase coherent in the transverse direction.

•Present experiments are insensitive to Ising density wave order. Future experiments could introduce a phase-locked subharmonic standing wave at half the wave vector of the optical lattice----this would couple linearly to the Ising order parameter. The AC stark shift of the atomic hyperfine levels would differ between adjacent sites. The relative strengths of the split hyperfine absorption lines would then be a measure of the Ising order parameter.