Gauge theory of optimal doping criticality in the cuprates

EPIQS Investigator Symposium Monterey, CA October 13, 2018

PHYSICS



Subir Sachdev and Mathias Scheurer



Talk online: sachdev.physics.harvard.edu

Fermi Surface and Pseudogap Evolution in a Cuprate Superconductor

Yang He, Yi Yin, M. Zech, A. Soumyanarayanan, I. Zeljkovic, M. M. Yee, M. C. Boyer, K. Chatterjee, W. D. Wise, Takeshi Kondo, T. Takeuchi, H. Ikuta, P. Mistark, R. S. Markiewicz, A. Bansil, S. Sachdev, E. W. Hudson, and J. E. Hoffman, Science **344**, 608 (2014)

Simultaneous Transitions in Cuprate Momentum-Space Topology and Electronic Symmetry Breaking

K. Fujita, Chung Koo Kim, Inhee Lee, Jinho Lee, M. H. Hamidian, I.A. Firmo, S. Mukhopadhyay, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, M. J. Lawler, E.-A. Kim, J. C. Davis, Science **344**, 612 (2014)



The remarkable underlying ground states of cuprate superconductors

Cyril Proust and Louis Taillefer, arXiv:1807.0507



Theoretical framework

We can (exactly) transform the Hubbard model to the "spin-fermion" model: **electrons** $c_{i\alpha}$ on the square lattice with dispersion

$$\mathcal{H}_{c} = -\sum_{i,\rho} t_{\rho} \left(c_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i+\boldsymbol{v}_{\rho},\alpha} + c_{i+\boldsymbol{v}_{\rho},\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i,\alpha} \right)$$
$$-\mu \sum_{i} c_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i,\alpha} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}$$

are coupled to a magnetic moment order parameter $\Phi^p(i), p = x, y, z$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = -\lambda \sum_{i} \Phi^{p}(i) c_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{p} c_{i,\beta} + V_{\Phi}$$

Theoretical framework

For (fluctuating) SDW SRO, we transform to a rotating reference frame using the SU(2)rotation R_i

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}c_{i\uparrow}\\c_{i\downarrow}\end{array}\right) = R_i \left(\begin{array}{c}\psi_{i,+}\\\psi_{i,-}\end{array}\right),$$

in terms of fermionic "chargons" ψ_s and a **Higgs field** $H^a(i)$

$$\sigma^p \Phi^p(i) = R_i \, \sigma^a H^a(i) \, R_i^{\dagger}$$

The Higgs field is the SDW order in the rotating reference frame.

Theoretical framework

We obtain different numbers of adjoint Higgs scalars,

 N_h , depending upon the spatial dependence of the local spin correlations:

Neel correlations: $N_h = 1$, $\boldsymbol{K} = (\pi, \pi),$ $H^a(i) = H_1^a(\boldsymbol{r})e^{i\boldsymbol{K}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}_i}$

Canted antiferromagnetic correlations: $N_h = 2$, $\mathbf{K} = (\pi, \pi)$, $H^a(i) = H_1^a(\mathbf{r})e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i} + H_2^a(\mathbf{r})$

Unidirectional incommensurate correlations: $N_h = 2$, $\mathbf{K} = (\pi, \pi - \delta)$, $H^a(i) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ [H_1^a(\mathbf{r}) + iH_2^a(\mathbf{r})] e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i} \right\}$

Bidirectional incommensurate correlations: $N_h = 4$, $\boldsymbol{K}_y = (\pi, \pi - \delta), \, \boldsymbol{K}_x = (\pi - \delta, \pi),$ $H^a(i) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ [H_1^a(\boldsymbol{r}) + iH_2^a(\boldsymbol{r})] \, e^{i\boldsymbol{K}_x \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_i} + [H_3^a(\boldsymbol{r}) + iH_4^a(\boldsymbol{r})] \, e^{i\boldsymbol{K}_y \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_i} \right\}$



Theory of the pseudogap phase



Metals with emergent gauge fields (the blue dimers):
(a) FL*: electron-like (b) ACL: spinless chargons with quasiparticles on a Fermi surface of size p

Theory of the pseudogap phase

Field	Symbol	Statistics	$SU(2)_{gauge}$	$SU(2)_{spin}$	$U(1)_{e.m.charge}$
Electron	С	fermion	1	2	-1
AF order	Φ	boson	1	3	0
Chargon	ψ	fermion	2	1	-1
Spinon	$R ext{ or } z$	boson	$ar{2}$	2	0
Higgs	H	boson	3	1	0
		·			

<u>SU(2)</u> gauge theory: fractionalize the SDW order parameter into the Higgs field (H)and the spinons (R); fractionalize the electron (c) into chargons (ψ) and spinons (R). When the Higgs field is condensed, the ψ fermions and R bosons are deconfined particles in an algebraic charge liquid (ACL) state, but with a strong residual attractive interaction from the t_{ij} . These can bind to form Fermi surfaces of quasiparticles with the same quantum numbers as an electron in a fractionalized Fermi liquid (FL*) state: the Fermi surfaces in the ACL/FL* states are reconstructed by the Higgs condensate, in a manner similar to a state with long-range SDW order. In the present SU(2) gauge theory, we ignored the interactions between the ψ and R in an ACL state, and obtained results in good agreement at intermediate temperatures with cluster DMFT, and with photoemission observations on NCCO.

Theory of the pseudogap phase



Junfeng He, C. R. Rotundu, M. S. Scheurer, Y. He, M. Hashimoto, K. Xu, Y. Wang, E. W. Huang, T. Jia, S.-D. Chen, B. Moritz, D.-H. Lu, Y. S. Lee, T. P. Devereaux and Z.-X. Shen, Fermi surface reconstruction in electron-doped cuprates without antiferromagnetic long-range order, preprint

M. S. Scheurer, S. Chatterjee, Wei Wu, M. Ferrero, A. Georges, and S. Sachdev, Topological order in the pseudogap metal PNAS **II5**, E3665 (2018) Wei Wu, M. S. Scheurer, S. Chatterjee, S. Sachdev, A. Georges, and M. Ferrero, Pseudogap and Fermi surface topology in the two-dimensional Hubbard model Physical Review X **8**, 021048 (2018)

Theory of optimal doping criticality

Field	Symbol	Statistics	$SU(2)_{gauge}$	$SU(2)_{spin}$	$U(1)_{e.m.charge}$	
Electron	С	fermion	1	2	-1	
AF order	Φ	boson	1	3		0
Chargon	ψ	fermion	2	1		-1
Spinon	$R ext{ or } z$	boson	$ar{2}$	2		0
Higgs	Н	boson	3	1		0

 $\frac{SU(2)}{M}$ gauge theory for quantum criticality: Assume the R spinons and ψ chargons are gapped, and all the low energy fermionic excitations are electron-like across the quantum critical point. Integrate out the spinons R, the chargons ψ , and the high energy c_{α} to obtain effective theory for H^a_{ℓ} and the low energy c_{α} near the Fermi surface.

Theory of optimal doping criticality

SU(2) gauge theory

SU(2) gauge theory with N_h adjoint Higgs fields H^a_{ℓ} $(a = 1, 2, 3, \ell = 1 \dots N_h)$ with potential $V(H^a_{\ell})$ and SU(2) gauge field A^a_{μ} , with a quartic coupling to gauge-invariant fermions with a large Fermi surface.

The quartic coupling is (assumed) innocuous, unlike a Yukawa coupling.

$$(1/2) \left(\partial_{\mu} H^{a}_{\ell} - \epsilon_{abc} A^{b}_{\mu} H^{c}_{\ell}\right)^{2} + V(H^{a}_{\ell}) - \sum_{i,\rho} t_{\rho} \left(c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c_{i+\boldsymbol{v}_{\rho},\alpha} + c^{\dagger}_{i+\boldsymbol{v}_{\rho},\alpha} c_{i,\alpha}\right) - \mu \sum_{i} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c_{i,\alpha} + \sum_{i} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c_{i,\alpha} H^{a}(i) H^{a}(i)$$

 $V(H_{\ell}^{a}) = s H_{\ell}^{a} H_{\ell}^{a} + u_{1} (H_{\ell}^{a} H_{\ell}^{a})^{2} + u_{2} H_{\ell}^{a} H_{m}^{a} H_{\ell}^{b} H_{m}^{b} + \dots$



confinement length

Fermi liquid with large Fermi surface

S



S. Hikami, Prog. Theor. Phys. 64, 1425 (1980)