## Metals near the onset of antiferromagnetism: instabilities to d-wave pairing and bond order

University of Maryland, College Park, March 14, 2013

Subir Sachdev

PHYSICS VETRI TAS HARVARD

sachdev.physics.harvard.edu



#### Max Metlitski



#### Erez Berg



## Quantum oscillations and the Fermi surface in an underdoped high- $T_c$ superconductor

Nicolas Doiron-Leyraud<sup>1</sup>, Cyril Proust<sup>2</sup>, David LeBoeuf<sup>1</sup>, Julien Levallois<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Baptiste Bonnemaison<sup>1</sup>, Ruixing Liang<sup>3,4</sup>, D. A. Bonn<sup>3,4</sup>, W. N. Hardy<sup>3,4</sup> & Louis Taillefer<sup>1,4</sup>

Nature **447**, 565 (2007)





Twofold twisted Fermi surface from staggered order in an underdoped high  $T_c$  superconductor

Suchitra E. Sebastian,<sup>1\*</sup> N. Harrison,<sup>2</sup> F. F. Balakirev,<sup>2</sup> M. M. Altarawneh,<sup>2,3</sup> Ruixing Liang,<sup>4,5</sup> D. A. Bonn,<sup>4,5</sup> W. N. Hardy,<sup>4,5</sup> G. G. Lonzarich,<sup>1</sup>

## Magnetic-field-induced charge-stripe order in the high-temperature superconductor YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>y</sub>

Tao Wu<sup>1</sup>, Hadrien Mayaffre<sup>1</sup>, Steffen Krämer<sup>1</sup>, Mladen Horvatić<sup>1</sup>, Claude Berthier<sup>1</sup>, W. N. Hardy<sup>2,3</sup>, Ruixing Liang<sup>2,3</sup>, D. A. Bonn<sup>2,3</sup> & Marc-Henri Julien<sup>1</sup>

8 SEPTEMBER 2011 | VOL 477 | NATURE | 191



11.6802 Å

3.8872

#### An Intrinsic Bond-Centered Electronic Glass with Unidirectional Domains in Underdoped Cuprates

Y. Kohsaka,<sup>1</sup> C. Taylor,<sup>1</sup> K. Fujita,<sup>1,2</sup> A. Schmidt,<sup>1</sup> C. Lupien,<sup>3</sup> T. Hanaguri,<sup>4</sup> M. Azuma,<sup>5</sup> M. Takano,<sup>5</sup> H. Eisaki,<sup>6</sup> H. Takagi,<sup>2,4</sup> S. Uchida,<sup>2,7</sup> J. C. Davis<sup>1,8\*</sup>

9 MARCH 2007 VOL 315 SCIENCE



# Direct observation of competition between superconductivity and charge density wave order in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.67}$

J. Chang<sup>1,2</sup>\*, E. Blackburn<sup>3</sup>, A. T. Holmes<sup>3</sup>, N. B. Christensen<sup>4</sup>, J. Larsen<sup>4,5</sup>, J. Mesot<sup>1,2</sup>, Ruixing Liang<sup>6,7</sup>, D. A. Bonn<sup>6,7</sup>, W. N. Hardy<sup>6,7</sup>, A. Watenphul<sup>8</sup>, M. v. Zimmermann<sup>8</sup>, E. M. Forgan<sup>3</sup> and S. M. Hayden<sup>9</sup>

NATURE PHYSICS | VOL 8 | DECEMBER 2012 |



## Thermodynamic phase diagram of static charge order in underdoped $YBa_2Cu_3O_y$

David LeBoeuf<sup>1</sup>\*, S. Krämer<sup>2</sup>, W. N. Hardy<sup>3,4</sup>, Ruixing Liang<sup>3,4</sup>, D. A. Bonn<sup>3,4</sup> and Cyril Proust<sup>1,4</sup>\*





The comparison of different acoustic modes indicates that the charge modulation is biaxial, which differs from a uniaxial stripe charge order.

Sature 40, 1March 16, 13





#### G. Grissonnanche et al., preprint





M.Vojta and S. Sachdev, Physical Review Letters 83, 3916 (1999)

### <u>Outline</u>

#### I.Antiferromagnetism in metals: low energy theory

2. d-wave superconductivity

3. Emergent pseudospin symmetry, and bond order

4. Quantum Monte Carlo without the sign problem



I.Antiferromagnetism in metals: low energy theory

2. d-wave superconductivity

3. Emergent pseudospin symmetry, and bond order

4. Quantum Monte Carlo without the sign problem



The electron spin polarization obeys

$$\left\langle \vec{S}(\mathbf{r},\tau) \right\rangle = \vec{\varphi}(\mathbf{r},\tau) e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$

where  $\mathbf{K}$  is the ordering wavevector.

#### The Hubbard Model

$$H = -\sum_{i < j} t_{ij} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} + U \sum_{i} \left( n_{i\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{i\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \mu \sum_{i} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha}$$

 $t_{ij} \rightarrow$  "hopping".  $U \rightarrow$  local repulsion,  $\mu \rightarrow$  chemical potential

Spin index  $\alpha = \uparrow, \downarrow$ 

$$n_{i\alpha} = c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha}$$

$$c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{j\beta} + c_{j\beta}c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$$
$$c_{i\alpha}c_{j\beta} + c_{j\beta}c_{i\alpha} = 0$$

#### The Hubbard Model

Decouple U term by a Hubbard-Stratanovich transformation

$$S = \int d^2 r d\tau \left[ \mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_{\varphi} + \mathcal{L}_{c\varphi} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{L}_c = c_a^{\dagger} \varepsilon (-i \mathbf{\nabla}) c_a$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi_{\alpha})^2 + \frac{r}{2} \varphi_{\alpha}^2 + \frac{u}{4} (\varphi_{\alpha}^2)^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{c\varphi} = \lambda \,\varphi_{\alpha} \, e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \, c_{a}^{\dagger} \, \sigma_{ab}^{\alpha} \, c_{b}.$$

"Yukawa" coupling between fermions and antiferromagnetic order:  $\lambda^2 \sim U$ , the Hubbard repulsion



#### Metal with "large" Fermi surface

Saturday, March 16, 13



Fermi surfaces translated by  $\mathbf{K} = (\pi, \pi)$ .





## Electron and hole pockets in antiferromagnetic phase with $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$



S. Sachdev, A.V. Chubukov, and A. Sokol, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 14874 (1995). A.V. Chubukov and D. K. Morr, *Physics Reports* **288**, 355 (1997).

Saturday, March 16, 13



S. Sachdev, A.V. Chubukov, and A. Sokol, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 14874 (1995). A.V. Chubukov and D. K. Morr, *Physics Reports* **288**, 355 (1997).

Saturday, March 16, 13





Low energy theory for critical point near hot spots



Low energy theory for critical point near hot spots

Theory has fermions  $\psi_{1,2}$  (with Fermi velocities  $\mathbf{v}_{1,2}$ ) and boson order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ , interacting with coupling  $\lambda$ 



### <u>Outline</u>

#### I.Antiferromagnetism in metals: low energy theory

2. d-wave superconductivity

3. Emergent pseudospin symmetry, and bond order

4. Quantum Monte Carlo without the sign problem

### <u>Outline</u>

#### I.Antiferromagnetism in metals: low energy theory

2. d-wave superconductivity

3. Emergent pseudospin symmetry, and bond order

4. Quantum Monte Carlo without the sign problem

#### Pairing by SDW fluctuation exchange

We now allow the SDW field  $\vec{\varphi}$  to be dynamical, coupling to electrons as

$$H_{\rm sdw} = -\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q},\alpha,\beta} \vec{\varphi}_{\mathbf{q}} \cdot c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{q},\beta}.$$

Exchange of a  $\vec{\varphi}$  quantum leads to the effective interaction

$$H_{ee} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\gamma,\delta} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,\beta} V_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{q}) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\beta} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{p},\gamma} c_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q},\delta},$$

where the pairing interaction is

$$V_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{q}) = \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\gamma\delta} \frac{\chi_0}{\xi^{-2} + (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{K})^2},$$

with  $\chi_0 \xi^2$  the SDW susceptibility and  $\xi$  the SDW correlation length.

#### **BCS** Gap equation

In BCS theory, this interaction leads to the 'gap equation' for the pairing gap  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \propto \langle c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \rangle$ .

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = -\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left( \frac{3\chi_0}{\xi^{-2} + (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K})^2} \right) \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}^2}}$$

Non-zero solutions of this equation require that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$  have opposite signs when  $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k} \approx \mathbf{K}$ .

#### Pairing "glue" from antiferromagnetic fluctuations



V. J. Emery, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. **44**, C3-977 (1983) D.J. Scalapino, E. Loh, and J.E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 8190 (1986) K. Miyake, S. Schmitt-Rink, and C. M. Varma, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 6554 (1986) S. Raghu, S.A. Kivelson, and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 224505 (2010)

 $\left\langle c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{-\mathbf{k}\beta}^{\dagger}\right\rangle = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\Delta_{S}(\cos k_{x} - \cos k_{y})$ 



#### Unconventional pairing at <u>and near</u> hot spots

Saturday, March 16, 13

### <u>Outline</u>

#### I.Antiferromagnetism in metals: low energy theory

2. d-wave superconductivity

3. Emergent pseudospin symmetry, and bond order

4. Quantum Monte Carlo without the sign problem



#### I.Antiferromagnetism in metals: low energy theory

2. d-wave superconductivity

3. Emergent pseudospin symmetry, and bond order

4. Quantum Monte Carlo without the sign problem Theory has fermions  $\psi_{1,2}$  (with Fermi velocities  $\mathbf{v}_{1,2}$ ) and boson order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ , interacting with coupling  $\lambda$ 



Theory has fermions  $\psi_{1,2}$  (with Fermi velocities  $\mathbf{v}_{1,2}$ ) and boson order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ , interacting with coupling  $\lambda$ 


Theory has fermions  $\psi_{1,2}$  (with Fermi velocities  $\mathbf{v}_{1,2}$ ) and boson order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ , interacting with coupling  $\lambda$ 



This low-energy theory is invariant under particle-hole transformation. Particles and holes both have spin S=1/2, and have only spin-spin interactions



 $\left\langle c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{-\mathbf{k}\beta}^{\dagger}\right\rangle = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\Delta_{S}(\cos k_{x} - \cos k_{y})$ 



# Unconventional pairing at <u>and near</u> hot spots

 $\left\langle c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{Q}/2,\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}/2,\alpha}\right\rangle = \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$ 

After pseudospin rotation

M.A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 075127 (2010)

K. B. Efetov, H. Meier, and C. Pepin, arXiv:1210.3276



# $\mathbf{Q}$ is $2k_F$ , wavevector

### Unconventional particle-hole pairing at <u>and near</u> hot spots

$$\left\langle c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{Q}/2,\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}/2,\alpha}\right\rangle = \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$$

After pseudospin rotation

M.A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 075127 (2010)

K. B. Efetov, H. Meier, and C. Pepin, arXiv:1210.3276



### Unconventional particle-hole pairing at and near hot spots











Note  $\langle c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha} c_{\mathbf{s}\alpha} \rangle$  is non-zero *only* when  $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}$  are nearest neighbors.

$$H = \sum_{k} \varepsilon(k) c_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{q} \chi(q) \vec{S}(-q) \cdot \vec{S}(q).$$
$$\vec{S}(q) = \sum_{k} c_{k+q,\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{k,\beta}$$

$$\begin{split} H &= \sum_{k} \varepsilon(k) c_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{q} \chi(q) \vec{S}(-q) \cdot \vec{S}(q). \\ \vec{S}(q) &= \sum_{k} c_{k+q,\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{k,\beta} \\ H_{MF} &= \sum_{k} \bigg[ \varepsilon(k) c_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k,\alpha} + \Delta_{S}(k) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} c_{k,\alpha} c_{-k\beta} + \text{H.c.} \\ &+ \sum_{Q} \Delta_{Q}(k) c_{k+Q/2,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k-Q/2,\alpha} \bigg], \end{split}$$

$$F \le F_{MF} + \langle H - H_{MF} \rangle_{MF}$$

$$H = \sum_{k} \varepsilon(k) c_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{q} \chi(q) \vec{S}(-q) \cdot \vec{S}(q).$$
$$\vec{S}(q) = \sum_{k} c_{k+q,\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{k,\beta}$$
$$H_{MF} = \sum_{k} \left[ \varepsilon(k) c_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k,\alpha} + \Delta_{S}(k) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} c_{k,\alpha} c_{-k\beta} + \text{H.c.} + \sum_{Q} \Delta_{Q}(k) c_{k+Q/2,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k-Q/2,\alpha} \right],$$

Expand F to second order in  $\Delta_S(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{k})$ , and obtain lowest eigenvalues  $\lambda_S$  and  $\lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}$  and corresponding eigenvectors  $\Delta_S(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{k})$ .



$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sum_{\gamma} c_{\boldsymbol{Q},\gamma} \psi_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

$\gamma$	$\psi_{\gamma}(oldsymbol{k})$	Q =	Q =	Q =	Q =	$\Delta_{S}(\boldsymbol{k})$
		(1.15, 1.15)	(1.15, 0)	(0,0)	$(\pi,\pi)$	
S	1	0	-0.231	0	0	0
<i>s</i> ′	$\cos k_x + \cos k_y$	0	0.044	0	0	0
<i>s</i> ′′	$\cos(2k_x) + \cos(2k_y)$	0	-0.046	0	0	0
d	$\cos k_x - \cos k_y$	0.993	0.963	0.997	0	0.997
d'	$\cos(2k_x) - \cos(2k_y)$	- 0.069	-0.067	-0.057	0	-0.056
$d^{\prime\prime}$	$2\sin k_x \sin k_y$	0	0	0	0	0
$p_x$	$\sqrt{2}\sin k_x$	0	0	0	0.706	0
$p_y$	$\sqrt{2}\sin k_y$	0	0	0	-0.706	0
8	$(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$	-0.009	0	0	0	0
	$\times \sqrt{8} \sin k_x \sin k_y$					

### Charge-ordering eigenvector









Note  $\langle c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha} c_{\mathbf{s}\alpha} \rangle$  is non-zero *only* when  $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}$  are nearest neighbors.



$$\left\langle c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{Q}/2,\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}/2,\alpha}\right\rangle = \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$$

Note  $\langle c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha} c_{\mathbf{s}\alpha} \rangle$  is non-zero *only* when  $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}$  are nearest neighbors.



# <u>Outline</u>

# I.Antiferromagnetism in metals: low energy theory

2. d-wave superconductivity

3. Emergent pseudospin symmetry, and bond order

4. Quantum Monte Carlo without the sign problem

# <u>Outline</u>

# I.Antiferromagnetism in metals: low energy theory

2. d-wave superconductivity

3. Emergent pseudospin symmetry, and bond order

4. Quantum Monte Carlo without the sign problem



Low energy theory for critical point near hot spots



### Hot spots in a single band model









Hot spots in a two band model



Hot spots in a two band model

Electrons with dispersion  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ interacting with fluctuations of the antiferromagnetic order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi}\exp\left(-\mathcal{S}\right) \\ \mathcal{S} &= \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} \\ &+ \int d\tau d^{2}x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x}\vec{\varphi}\right)^{2} + \frac{r}{2}\vec{\varphi}^{2} + \ldots\right] \\ &- \lambda \int d\tau \sum_{i} \vec{\varphi}_{i} \cdot (-1)^{\mathbf{x}_{i}} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta} \end{split}$$

E. Berg,

(2012).

Electrons with dispersions  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{L}}^{(x)}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{L}}^{(y)}$ interacting with fluctuations of the antiferromagnetic order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(x)} \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(y)} \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp\left(-\mathcal{S}\right) & \stackrel{\text{E.Berg.}}{\overset{\text{Berg.}}{\overset{\text{M. Metlitski, and}}{\overset{\text{S. Sachdev,}}{\overset{\text{S. Sachdev,}}{\overset{$$

Electrons with dispersions  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)}$ interacting with fluctuations of the antiferromagnetic order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(x)} \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(y)} \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp\left(-\mathcal{S}\right) & \stackrel{\text{E.Berg,}}{\underset{\text{M. Metlitski, and}}{\text{S. Sachdev,}} \\ \mathcal{S} &= \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)}\right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)} & \stackrel{\text{(2012).}}{\underset{\text{(2012).}}{\text{Science } 338, 1606} \\ &+ \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)}\right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)} \\ &+ \int d\tau d^{2}x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{x}\vec{\varphi}\right)^{2} + \frac{r}{2}\vec{\varphi}^{2} + \dots\right] & \stackrel{\text{No sign problem } !}{\underset{\text{No sign problem } !}{\text{No sign problem } !}} \\ &- \lambda \int d\tau \sum_{i} \vec{\varphi}_{i} \cdot (-1)^{\mathbf{x}_{i}} c_{i\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta}^{(y)} + \text{H.c.} \end{split}$$

Electrons with dispersions  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)}$ interacting with fluctuations of the antiferromagnetic order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(x)} \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(y)} \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp\left(-\mathcal{S}\right) \\ \mathcal{S} &= \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)}\right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)} \\ &+ \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)}\right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)} \\ &+ \int d\tau d^2 x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_x \vec{\varphi}\right)^2 + \frac{r}{2} \vec{\varphi}^2 + \dots\right] \\ &- \lambda \int d\tau \sum_i \vec{\varphi}_i \cdot (-1)^{\mathbf{x}_i} c_{i\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta}^{(y)} + \text{H.c.} \end{split}$$

E. Berg, M. Metlitski, and S. Sachdev, Science **338**, 1606 (2012).

Applies without changes to the microscopic band structure in the iron-based superconductors

Electrons with dispersions  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{L}}^{(x)}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{L}}^{(y)}$ interacting with fluctuations of the antiferromagnetic order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(x)} \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(y)} \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp\left(-\mathcal{S}\right) \\ \mathcal{S} &= \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)}\right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)} \\ &+ \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)}\right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)} \\ &+ \int d\tau d^{2}x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{x}\vec{\varphi}\right)^{2} + \frac{r}{2}\vec{\varphi}^{2} + \ldots\right] \end{split}$$
Can integra obtain an Hubbard minteractions i only couple separate 
$$-\lambda \int d\tau \sum_{i} \vec{\varphi}_{i} \cdot (-1)^{\mathbf{x}_{i}} c_{i\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta}^{(y)} + \text{H.c.} \end{split}$$

E. Berg, M. Metlitski, and S. Sachdev, Science 338, 1606 (2012).

integrate out  $\vec{\varphi}$  to ain an extended bard model. The ctions in this model couple electrons in eparate bands.






E. Berg, M. Metlitski, and S. Sachdev, Science **338**, 1606 (2012).







#### Electron occupation number $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ as a function of the tuning parameter r

....

E. Berg, M. Metlitski, and S. Sachdev, Science 338, 1606 (2012).



AF susceptibility,  $\chi_{\varphi}$ , and Binder cumulant as a function of the tuning parameter r

E. Berg, M. Metlitski, and S. Sachdev, Science 338, 1606 (2012).





s/d pairing amplitudes  $P_+/P_$ as a function of the tuning parameter r



E. Berg, M. Metlitski, and S. Sachdev, Science 338, 1606 (2012).

# **Conclusions**

Metals with antiferromagnetic spin correlations have nearly degenerate instabilities: to d-wave superconductivity, and to a charge density wave with a d-wave form factor.

# **Conclusions**

Metals with antiferromagnetic spin correlations have nearly degenerate instabilities: to d-wave superconductivity, and to a charge density wave with a d-wave form factor.

New sign-problem-free quantum Monte Carlo for studying such metals. Obtained (first ?) convincing evidence for unconventional superconductivity at strong coupling.

# **Conclusions**

Metals with antiferromagnetic spin correlations have nearly degenerate instabilities: to d-wave superconductivity, and to a charge density wave with a d-wave form factor.

New sign-problem-free quantum Monte Carlo for studying such metals. Obtained (first ?) convincing evidence for unconventional superconductivity at strong coupling.

Good prospects for studying competing charge orders, and non-Fermi liquid physics at non-zero temperature.