Electrical transport near a pair-breaking superconductor-metal quantum phase transition

Emily Dunkel (Harvard) Joel Moore (Berkeley) Daniel Podolsky (Berkeley) Subir Sachdev (Harvard) Ashvin Vishwanath (Berkeley) Philipp Werner (ETH) Matthias Troyer (ETH)

*Physical Review Letters* **92**, 237003 (2004) *Physical Review B* **73**, 085116 (2006) cond-mat/0510597.



See also talk by Daniel Podolsky, N38.00007, Wed 9:12 AM Talk online at http://sachdev.physics.harvard.edu



Standard Abrikosov-Gorkov theory for the suppression of the mean-field BCS critical temperature,  $T_{c0}$ , of a superconductor by a pair-breaking frequency  $\alpha$ :



Standard Abrikosov-Gorkov theory for the suppression of the mean-field BCS critical temperature,  $T_{c0}$ , of a superconductor by a pair-breaking frequency  $\alpha$ :



There is a critical  $\alpha = \alpha_c$  such that  $T_c = 0$  for  $\alpha > \alpha_c$ . We are interested in the nature of the crossovers near the quantum phase transition at  $\alpha = \alpha_c$  especially in spatial dimensions d = 1, 2.

Pairbreaking,  $\alpha$  can be be due to a magnetic field, H, applied on a wire of radius r

$$\alpha = D(eHr/c)^2/4,$$

where D is the Cooperon diffusion constant.

On a hollow cylinder with radii  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ :

$$\alpha = D\left[\frac{eH}{4c}\left[-4n + \frac{eH}{c}(r_1^2 + r_2^2)\right] + \frac{n^2\ln(r_2/r_1)}{r_2^2 - r_1^2}\right]$$

where n is an integer. (A. V. Lopatin, N. Shah, and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 037003 (2005)).



Y. Liu, Yu. Zadorozhny, M. M. Rosario, B. Y. Rock, P. T. Carrigan, and H. Wang, *Science* **294**, 2332 (2001).

#### Other sources of pairbreaking

- Parallel magnetic field, H, on a film of thickness t, α = D(eHt/c)<sup>2</sup>/6. Experiments by K. A. Parendo, K. H. Sarwa, B. Tan, and A. M. Goldman, cond-mat/0512704.
- Inhomogeneous pairing interaction (M. V. Feigelman and A. I. Larkin, Chem. Phys. 235, 107 (1998); B. Spivak, A. Zyuzin, and M. Hruska, Phys. Rev. B 64, 132502 (2001)).
- Impurities in a *d*-wave superconductor. (I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1532 (2000)).
- Magnetic impurities.

I. Theory for the superconductor-metal quantum phase transition



At T = 0, the Maki-Thomson and density of states corrections to the conductivity,  $\delta\sigma$ , *increase* with increasing  $\alpha$  (negative magnetoresistance):

$$\delta\sigma \sim (\alpha - \alpha_c)$$

(A. V. Lopatin, N. Shah, and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 037003 (2005)).



At T = 0, the Maki-Thomson and density of states corrections to the conductivity,  $\delta\sigma$ , *increase* with increasing  $\alpha$  (negative magnetoresistance):

$$\delta\sigma \sim (\alpha - \alpha_c)$$

(A. V. Lopatin, N. Shah, and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 037003 (2005)).

We will argue that these are corrections to scaling to the theory of the quantum critical point. These corrections are <u>dangerously irrelevant</u>, because they dominate at low T.



$$\delta\sigma \sim \frac{4e^2}{h} \frac{D^{2-d} (k_B T/\hbar)^2}{(\alpha - \alpha_c)^{(6-d)/2}}$$

(A. V. Lopatin, N. Shah, and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 037003 (2005))



At T > 0, Aslamazov-Larkin corrections lead to

$$\delta\sigma \sim \frac{4e^2}{h} \frac{D^{2-d} (k_B T/\hbar)^2}{(\alpha - \alpha_c)^{(6-d)/2}}$$

(A. V. Lopatin, N. Shah, and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 037003 (2005))

We will argue these <u>are</u> contained in the quantum critical theory. Note, however, the leading critical fluctuations <u>vanish</u> at T = 0 for  $\alpha > \alpha_c$ . This leads to a non-monotonic T dependence in critical theory.



Cooperon fluctuations have propagator  $\sim 1/(Dq^2+|\omega|+\alpha)$ . Self-interactions between such fluctuations are described by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{bulk}} = \int d^d x \left[ \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left( D |\nabla_x \psi(x,\omega)|^2 + (|\omega| + \alpha) |\psi(x,\omega)|^2 \right) + \frac{u}{2} \int d\tau |\psi(x,\tau)|^4 \right],$$

R. Ramazashvili and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3752 (1997); I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1532 (2000)); D. Dalidovich and P. Phillips, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 737 (2000); B. Spivak, A. Zyuzin, and M. Hruska, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 132502 (2001))



In one dimension, theory reduces to the Langer-Ambegaokar-McCumber-Halperin theory (Model A dynamics), near mean-field  $T_c$ 

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\left[-D\partial_x^2 \psi + \alpha \psi + u|\psi|^2 \psi\right]$$
  
+ thermal Langevin noise

Role of charge conservation in quantum critical theory (related to the question of why dissipation is not  $|\omega|q^2$ ) Dynamics of quantum theory (and model A) does not conserve total charge.

Analogous the Fermi-liquid/spin-density-wave transition (Hertz theory), where dynamics of critical theory does not conserve total spin. **Role of charge conservation in quantum critical theory** (related to the question of why dissipation is not  $|\omega|q^2$ ) Dynamics of quantum theory (and model A) does not conserve total charge.

Analogous the Fermi-liquid/spin-density-wave transition (Hertz theory), where dynamics of critical theory does not conserve total spin.

Conservation laws place strong constraints for  $\omega/q \to \infty$ , but can be ignored in the critical regime, where  $\omega/q \to 0$ . L. B. Ioffe and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 16151 (1995)

Cooper pairs (SDW) fluctuations decay into fermionic excitations at a finite rate, before any appreciable phase precession due to changes in chemical potential (magnetic field).

II. Quantum criticality in d=1

# Theory for quantum-critical region, and beyond in d=1T Quantum critical Superconductor Metal α $\alpha_{c}$

Quantum critical theory obeys strong hyperscaling properties in spatial dimensions d < 2. Exponents can be determined by an expansion in  $\epsilon = 2 - d$ in a theory with *n*-component fields (n = 2 here).

$$z = 2 - \eta \quad ; \quad \eta = \frac{(n+2)(12 - \pi^2)}{4(n+8)^2} \epsilon^2$$
  
$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(n+2)}{4(n+8)} \epsilon + \frac{(n+2)(n^2 + (38 - 7\pi^2/6)n + 132 - 19\pi^2/3)}{8(n+8)^3} \epsilon^2$$

Results at  $\epsilon = 1$  in very good agreement with QMC simulations.

# Theory for quantum-critical region, and beyond in d=1T Quantum critical Superconductor Metal α $\alpha_{c}$

In d = 1, conductivity of critical theory obeys universal scaling form:

$$\delta\sigma = \frac{4e^2}{h} \left(\frac{\hbar D}{k_B T}\right)^{1/z} \Phi_{\sigma} \left(\frac{\alpha - \alpha_c}{T^{1/(z\nu)}}\right)$$

where  $\Phi_{\sigma}$  is a scaling function.

#### Theory for quantum-critical region, and beyond in *d*=1



Non-monotonic dependence on T.

III. Nanowires near the superconductor-metal quantum critical point

#### **Nanowires near the quantum critical point in** *d***=1**



Now the  $\underline{conductance}$ , g, of the wire is universal

$$g = \frac{4e^2}{h}F(\omega L^{1/z})$$

where L is the length of the wire, and  $L < (\hbar D/k_B T)^{1/2}$ .

# **Effect of the leads**



 $S_{\text{lead}} = \int d\tau \left[ -H^* \psi(0,\tau) - H \psi^*(0,\tau) + C |\Psi(0,\tau)|^2 \right]$ where  $H \neq 0$  for a superconducting lead.

Both H and C scale to strong-coupling, and therefore we have Dirichlet boundary conditions ( $\Psi = 0$ ) for a N lead, and Fixed boundary conditions for a S lead

Conductance is *independent* of the specific bare values of H and C.

# Large *n* computation of conductance



$$g = \frac{4e^2}{h} F_X(y) \quad ; \quad y = c_1 \omega L^z$$

# **Quantum Monte Carlo and large** *n* **computation of**

### d.c. conductance



IV. Quantum criticality in d=2

### Theory for quantum-critical region, and beyond in d=2

To leading logarithmic accuracy, (nearly) all physical properties can be expressed in terms of computable universal functions of two energy scales, R, and U. R measures distance from the quantum critical point, while U is a quartic selfcoupling. These are parameters in a classical theory of equaltime correlations with free energy

$$F = \int d^2x \left[ |\nabla \Psi|^2 + \widetilde{R} |\Psi|^2 + \frac{U}{2} |\Psi|^4 \right].$$

R and U depend upon the bare values of  $\alpha$ , D, T, and logarithmically on a cutoff energy scale  $\Lambda$ , and are determined by solving a simple integral equation.

The loci of points with a fixed U/R has the same physical properties, upto a shift in the overall energy scale, R.

# Locus of points with U/R constant



The Kosterlitz-Thouless transition occurs at  $T = T_{KT}$ , where  $U/R \approx 34$  (a universal number).

# Locus of points with U/R constant



The conductivity obeys the scaling form

$$\sigma = \frac{4e^2}{h} \frac{k_B T}{R} \Phi_A \left(\frac{U}{R}\right)$$

where  $\Phi_A$  is a completely universal function which can be (numerically) determined by a classical, continuum Model A theory.

# Locus of points with U/R constant



# **Conclusions**

- Universal transport in wires near the superconductor-metal transition
- Theory includes contributions from thermal and quantum phase slips ---- reduces to the classical LAMH theory at high temperatures
- Sensitivity to leads should be a generic feature of the ``coherent'' transport regime of quantum critical points.
- Complete computation of electrical transport in d=2 to leading logarithmic accuracy.